UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
_	No. 17-6128	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
GUY BORDES AGNANT, JR.,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
-		
Appeal from the United States Dis Richard D. Bennett, District Judge.		· ·
Submitted: June 22, 2017		Decided: June 26, 2017
Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, a	and FLOYD and HA	RRIS, Circuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Guy Bordes Agnant, Jr., Appellant States Attorney, Baltimore, Maryla	-	er John Romano, Assistant United
Unpublished opinions are not hindi	ing precedent in this	circuit

PER CURIAM:

Guy Bordes Agnant, Jr., seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Agnant has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED